

Board of Studies (BoS) – 8. Meeting 2026

29 September 2026

Present: **Signe Yndigegn** (faculty, SAT DD), **Christian Balslev van Randwijk** (secretary to BoS, SAP), **Herbert H. van den Berk** (student, SAT DDIT), **Jesper Bengtson** (faculty, SAT CS), **Karl Werder** (faculty, SAT Business), **Gabija Makutenaite** (student, SAT CS), **Hanna Wirman** (faculty, SAT Games)

Guests:

Absent: **Ea Feldfos** (SAP), **Krystof Marasek** (student, SAT Games)

Agenda

1. **Approval of agenda**
2. **Approval: Motion to suspend current supervisor evaluations**
App 1 – Recommendation to suspend Supervision Evaluations in LearnIT
3. **Discussion: Course Evaluations**
App 2 – Course Evaluation Report – Spring 2026
4. **AOB (Any Other Business)**

Minutes

Ad 1

The agenda was approved.

Ad 2

Christian presented the topic of technical problems with supervision evaluations, and a recommendation to suspend them.

Hanna stated that for a long time there has been a lack of understanding about these evaluations, who reads them, where do they go?

Hanna asked if it is both the evaluations from supervisors and the students that would be suspended? Christian answered that these two aspects are connected technically, so suspending one automatically suspends the other.

Jesper asked if the new IT department has been involved? Christian said that it is the Systems Team and Learning Support in SAP that are the experts in LearnIT. Jesper said the IT department should be involved in a new solution.

Karl said that it is important that BoS keeps a hand on evaluations, otherwise they won't happen.

Hanna asked about the purpose of these evaluations? Should we make sure aggregate data can be gathered in the future? (This was possible in the past).

Signe stated that as Head of Programme it is very useful knowledge they gain from these evaluations, in case, for instance, there are problems with the supervision process.

Hanna stated that part of BoS recommendation is that teachers and students, maybe Heads of Programmes should be involved in the process of a new way of evaluating supervision. Jesper added that the IT department and/or Learning Support should be involved in this process.

Gabija mentioned that mostly, when students have issues, they direct questions towards the people directly involved, so she is not quite sure how to improve the participation rate in evaluations in general.

It was put to a vote to recommend suspension of the supervision evaluations. It was unanimously approved.

Hanna will gather the input for a recommendation to Per (Rector) on the matter, and forward this to him.

Ad 3

Hanna introduced the follow up process for course evaluations.

Hanna then mentioned the low aggregate response rate (29%), and that this is a constant challenge.

Signe added that it is important to remember to keep the communicative effort up, leading up to the evaluations opening.

Hanna mentioned that one of the most important efforts is to make students aware of the impact that former evaluations may have had on the courses.

Signe added that at the moment there is no Head of Education, which creates some uncertainty about the follow up.

As an aside, Hanna stated that, going forward, there should, in the minutes, be a list of items that require follow-up actions from members of BoS, either sharing or gathering information, in relevant forums.

Hanna stated that looking at the average scores in evaluations, there are programmes that need attention. Hanna asked if DDIT representatives would like to address the results? Herbert stated that in SAT they had discussed that the low-scoring courses were new courses that maybe haven't quite "landed" yet.

Following this, the results from each programme were discussed. BoS recommends that SAT BUS take up the follow-up process for spring 2026 courses, since they haven't discussed the results yet.

Hanna stated that, going forward, it could be interesting to see if courses being mandatory have an (negative) effect on the evaluations.

It was decided that Christian would present the response rates in general, and for each programme, at the next meeting, going back a couple of years.

Collectively, BoS also suggested that students in SAT share information to other students and help promote the course evaluations.

Ad 4

Jesper added that a discussion about Wiseflow should take place at the next meeting. Christian will put it on the agenda for the October meeting.