

Board of Studies (BoS) – 1. Meeting 2026

10 February 2026

Present: **Laurens Boer** (faculty, SAT DD), **Luis Cruz-Filipe** (HoE), **Karl Werder** (faculty, SAT Business), **Nicholas A. M. Maurer** (student, SAT Games), **Christian Balslev van Randwijk** (secretary to BoS, SAP)

Guests: **Viktorija Hofbauer** (EQ/SAP), **Annelise Agertoft** (SAP), **Allette B. Bundgaard** (SAP)

Absent: **Ea Feldfos** (SAP), **Rune K. L. Nielsen** (faculty, SAT Games), **Marco Carbone** (faculty, SAT CS), **Maria Emanuela Gonzalez**, **Vytautas Davidavicius** (student, SAT BIT), **Melica Sarvghad** (directly elected student)

Agenda

1. Approval of agenda

2. Approval: Pilot of X-Form: Portfolio Exam

*Annelise Agertoft (SAP) will present the topic that is up for approval.
Appendices to follow.*

3. Discussion: New Board of Studies for Lifelong learning

Luis will present thought about an additional Board of Studies for Lifelong Learning

4. Approval: Adjusted Grade Requirements for Admission to B-SWU

BSWU has suggested an adjustment to entrance requirements that BoS needs to decide on. Present to answer any question will be Allette B. Bundgaard, Programme Coordinator to BSWU.

App 1: Presentation to BoS Curriculum adjusted entrance requirements BSWU

App 1a: BSWU-curriculum-2026 Apply to admitted from 2026

App 1b: Admission requirements for BSWU

5. Status: Study Environment Action Plan (Viktorija Hofbauer, SAP)

Short presentation of status on the Danish student survey and Study environment assessment action plan. Discussion of process in spring 2026, when new data from the Danish Student Survey 2025 is available.

App 2 - Study Environment Assessment Action Plan 2024-2028 – December 2025

App 3 - Study Environment Assessment Action Plan 2024-2028 Backlog

6. Responsibilities and roles of the Board of Studies and SATs

Laurens will lead a discussion about the roles and responsibilities of the BoS and SATs.

7. AOB (Any Other Business)

Minutes

Ad 1

The agenda was approved.

Ad 2

Annelise presented the application of the x-form exam: The proposal is to test an exam form that better aligns with *constructive alignment*, can mitigate AI misuse and potentially replace some Mandatory Activities with submissions. The pilot project addresses both didactics, regulations, and system support.

Following the completions of the pilots they will be evaluated, and the evaluation will be presented to the Board of Studies in early 2027.

Four courses have been selected for the pilot; Advanced Game Studio (KGames), Artificial Intelligence for Designers (BDDIT), Big Data management (KSD), Software Engineering (KSD).

Laurens asked if there is a hand-in along the way, or only at the end? Annelise answered that it is possible to have several hand-ins along the way.

Karl asked if it is the plan that this is to be a standard choice of exam going forward, or will programmes have to continue to have it approved as an x-form? Annelise answered that the purpose of the pilot is to make sure that in the future, Course Managers can select it as an exam format, alongside the other exam formats, and will not have to go through approvals of x-forms continuously.

Annelise presented each of the four concrete pilot portfolio exams, and each of the exams was discussed individually.

Laurens asked if they all run in Autumn? Annelise answered that yes, they do.

The proposal was put to a vote.

The BoS members present could not approve the application finally. But the board members present all approved it. ***Those members not present have one week from today until 11:00, Wednesday February 18th, to voice any dissent and/or vote against the proposal. If you approve of the proposal, you don't have to do anything. It is then assumed that you approve.***

The approval came with a number of comments, among them, that activities should be mapped to particular ILOs, assessment criteria should be more explicit, and it needs to be discussed how it is handled if some students don't hand in one or more components of the portfolio exam. Annelise will carry the comments and suggestions into the implementation of the pilot.

Ad 3

Luis presented the item. Teachers and HoP from ILM participate in many meanings that do not make a lot of sense for them to participate in. To ensure ILM student engagement, and to make things smoother, Luis proposed to have a separate Board of Studies for ILM. This has to be approved by the Rector. This will facilitate better student participation since meetings, for instance, can be held on the weekend. Obviously, ILM students very rarely have the possibility to participate in meetings or extra-curricular activities on week days. Luis asked if there were any objections? No objections were voiced.

Laurens asked about how the two boards would integrate, how would that function legally? Luis answered that in principle they could make completely uncoordinated decisions, but in practice there would be coordination, facilitated by the administration.

Ad 4

Allette introduced the item. The point of the item is to open up for more applicants, since SWU has experienced a decline in applications. One of these actions is to lower the grade minimum in mathematics to 2.0 on the 7-point scale.

From 2027 a grade requirement of 6,0 will be introduced to the general average mark of the qualifying examination. This aligns the admission requirements with comparable study programmes in Denmark.

This will hopefully lead to an increase in the number of applicants and the final number of admitted students to BSWU.

The topic was put to a vote.

The BoS members present could not approve the application finally. But the board members present all approved it. ***Those members not present have one week from today until 11:00, Wednesday February 18th, to voice any dissent and/or vote against the proposal. If you approve of the proposal, you don't have to do anything. It is then assumed that you approve.***

Ad 5

Viktorija presented the item briefly, as well as the previous timeline of activities.

Board of Studies has to decide what to do with the Action Plan in Spring 2026. The Action Plan needs to be revised (if/where necessary) by June 2026.

The report on the basis of the data is in the making. The question for BoS is, how do they want to proceed with the data and the Action Plan?

After a brief discussion it was decided that Education and Quality will investigate if The Analysis Unit can be available to help with data analysis within a meaningful timeframe. Education and Quality will compile a table report with an introduction and present that to BoS at next meeting, or the meeting following that.

Ad 6

Postponed till next meeting.

Ad 7

No additional business