

Meeting SAT CS 02-09-2026

If no comments to the minutes received within the 10 working days period. Minutes are approved.

Present:

- Louise Meier Carlsen (Co-HoP BSWU)
- Stefan Heinrich (HoP KDS)
- Martin Aumüller (HoP KSD)
- Jesper Bengtson (Co-HoP SD)
- Alessandro Bruni (HoP KCS)
- Marco Carbone (Co-HoP KCS)
- Therese Graversen (HoP BDS)
- Gabija Makutenaite (Student rep. BDS)
- Peter Raasthøj (Student rep. BSWU)
- Viktor Horváth (Student rep. KCS)
- David Martin Sørensen (Student rep. KCS)
- Mette Holm Smith (ProCoor BDS/KDS, Secretary SAT CS)

Absent:

- Dan Witzner Hansen (HoP BSWU)
- Martin Aumüller (HoP KSD)
- Jesper Bengtson (Co-HoP SD)
- Allette Bjørn Bundgaard (ProCoor SWU/CS)
- Marc Kellaway (ProCoor SD)
- Michele Coscia (Co-HoP KDS)
-

Minutes:

1. Approval of agenda

Add an introduction round and start with information from SAT members and charring meetings in autumn.

Stefan would like to add a brief item with information about the election to SAT CS and how to ensure representatives from all programmes. Added under AOB.

Approved.

2. Approval of minutes from meeting 17 June 2026

No comments to the minutes received within the 10 working days period. Minutes approved.

3. Introduction round

4. Information from SAT Members (15 minutes)

Louise: Discussion on AI and learning – a workshop for faculty across the CS programmes will be coming up soon. With input from SAT CS from the discussions in spring.

Rector Per will also be invited as he has set out to head the AI and learning area discussions at ITU.

Peter: 5th year students bachelor SWU are beginning to ask about the bachelor thesis and supervisors.

More information will follow soon. An invitation to a shared BSWU/BDS bachelor thesis market probably in late October.

There will also be a writing workshop during the spring which Louise ((BSWU) will head and also something about different types of projects and how to develop good problem formulations.

Viktor: KCS Specialisation courses have been restructured, and students have not been informed about the detailed changes. Especially around the algorithms courses. Students have planned their courses based on the information in spring.

Marco: The actual content of courses teachers is responsible for but if they want to change the ILOs the HoP must approve. The courses have been changed since the specialization workshop in spring with issues relating to staffing and things have been moved around by the algorithm group with very short notice. It is of course unfortunate.

Louise: Management structure around staffing has changed and HoPs are trying to get the staffing stabilized.

Stefan: Good to have a semester mail before the semester with latest news and changes.

The Head of Education has left ITU and the management of educational area is in a process of restructuring in the next 6 months, but HoPs are optimistic.

5. Who will chair and prepare meetings in Autumn 2026? 5-10 minutes (decision)

Therese continues preparing the agenda and chairing the meeting. At the end of the meeting SAT CS will discuss items for the next meeting. If there are no relevant items from SAT CS and other stakeholders at ITU e.g. Board of Studies, general quality assurance regarding the study programmes (e.g. feedback on course evaluations) the meetings will be cancelled. Outside the meetings, please send suggestions for items to Therese and Mette.

6. Exercise classes (discussion 30 minutes) – participation in exercise classes is generally low. How to make more students participate. SAT CS discussed the item in 2024 please read the minutes App 1 and start the discussion based on the findings in 2024.)

Scheduling structure:

- splitting exercises from lectures

- * regarding exercises directly following a lecture, students sometimes just leave because they need time to look at the exercises

- * different days can be tricky, because students don't necessarily want to come two days

- scheduling too strict. Currently only 2-hour scheduling slots are available therefore one-hour break is not possible

- * Placement of 2hr slots right after lectures is problematic

- * Parallel classes are problematic

Suggestion for a more flexible structure:

Schedule more weekly exercise slots per course and assign the TAs individually to each slot which can be overlapping exercise slots with courses on the same semester.

In the beginning of the semester students sign up to a specific slot. Limited number of seats per slot.

Exercise formats

Time to work in class can be good. Some places use StudyLab for this

- participating in classes creates a community feel. It also ensures the important information flow to lecturers about students' learning.

- Work with exercises in class. Going through the exercise provided and giving tips for the MAs (mandatory activities).

- Meet and discuss the exercises. Show structures and how to work with a specific type of exercise which can be transferred to the MAs.

- several people in the room note that they experienced trying specific approach several times, but with different outcomes between years. Could be a cohort effect but could also be due to changes in parallel courses.

- StudyLab vs exercises. Should we explicitly encourage students to go? We need to be very careful about workload and make clear what the core course activities are. Maybe encourage students to try it out just once.

7. AOB:

Information about the election to SAT CS and how to ensure representatives from all programmes.

The election takes place in autumn (October/November) <https://itustudent.itu.dk/Campus-Life/Get-involved/Election-at-ITU> 5 student representatives up for election each year.

Viktor presented possible solutions to promote BoS and SAT to students at the #4 SAT CS meeting 20 May 2026. Please see Viktor's suggestions and the minutes from the meeting.

Minutes 04-09-2026

Meho